

RECEIVED

The Dow Chemical Company

2006 JUN 16 PM 2:MFdTand, Michigan 48674

AR/OK/TX BRANCH

April 17, 2006

Gary Miller Remedial Project Manager US EPA Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue Suite 1200 Mail Code: 6SF-AP Dallas, TX 75202-2733

DEMONSTRATION OF FINANCIAL ASSURANCE FOR GULFCO MARINE MAINTENANCE - CERCLA FACILITY

Dear Sir:

I am the chief financial officer of The Dow Chemical Company, 2030 Dow Center, Midland, Michigan 48674. This letter is in support of this firm's use of the financial test to demonstrate financial assurance at the subject CERCLA facility.

1. This firm is a potentially responsible party at the following CERCLA facility for which financial assurance is demonstrated. The current closure and/or post-closure cost estimates covered by the test are shown for each facility:

EPA ID# NAME & ADDRESS COST ESTIMATES

REGION 6
TXD055144539 Gulfco Marine Maintenance 906 Marlin Avenue
Freeport, TX 77541 - 9630

2. This firm guarantees, through the guarantee specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265, the closure or post-closure care of the following CERCLA facilities owned or operated by the guaranteed party. The current cost estimates for the closure or post-closure care so guaranteed are shown for each facility:

None.

The firm identified above is the direct or higher-tier parent corporation of the owner or operator.

3. In States where EPA is not administering the financial requirements of Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 or 265, this firm, as owner or operator or guarantor, is demonstrating financial assurance for the closure or post-closure care of the following facilities through the use of a test equivalent or substantially equivalent to the financial test specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265. The current closure and/or post-closure cost estimates covered by such a test are shown for each facility:

None.



1

4. This firm is the owner or operator of the following hazardous waste management facilities for which financial assurance for closure or, if a disposal facility, post-closure care, is not demonstrated either to EPA or a State through the financial test or any other financial assurance mechanism specified in Subpart H of 40 CFR Parts 264 and 265 or equivalent or substantially equivalent State mechanisms. The current closure and/or post-closure cost estimates not covered by such financial assurance are shown for each facility:

None.

5. This firm is the owner or operator of the following UIC facilities for which financial assurance for plugging and abandonment is required under Part 144. The current closure cost estimates are required by 40 CFR 144.62 are shown for each facility:

None.

6. RCRA liability. See the attached CFO Letter to Texas Commission on Environmental Quality dated March 27, 2006, for financial assurance applicable to facilities other than the CERCLA facilities listed above in paragraph 1.

Sum of current RCRA closure and post-closure cost estimates = \$409,104,547

This firm is required to file a Form 10K with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for the latest fiscal year.

The fiscal year of this firm ends on December 31. The figures for the following items marked with an asterisk (*) are derived from this firm's independently audited, year-end financial statements for the latest completed fiscal year, ended December 31, 2005.

PART B. CLOSURE OR POST-CLOSURE CARE AND LIABILITY COVERAGE **ALTERNATIVE II**

1.	Sum of current closure and post-closure cost estimates	\$409,924,5	47
	(total of all cost estimates listed above)		
2.	Current bond rating of most recent issuance and name of	A- (S&P)	
	rating service		
3.	Date of issuance of bond	November :	22, 2002
4.	Date of maturity of bond	November	15, 2007
5.*	Tangible net worth (if any portion of the closure or post-	\$11,741,00	0,000
	closure cost estimates is included in "total liabilities" on		•
	your financial statements you may add that portion to this line)		
6.*	Total assets in the U.S. (required only if less than 90% of	\$24,652,000,000	
	assets are located in the U.S.)		
		<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
7.	Is line 5 at least \$10 million?	X	
8.	Is line 5 at least 6 times line 1?	Χ	
9.*	Are at least 90% of assets located in the U.S.?		X
	If not complete line 10.		
10.	Is line 6 at least 6 times line 1?	Χ	

I hereby certify that the wording of this letter is substantially similar to the wording specified in 40 CFR 264.151(g) as such regulations were constituted on the date shown immediately below.

(Geoffery E. Merszeiff Executive Vice President

And Chief Financial Officer

The Dow Chemical Company

April 17, 2006

Michele Osmun, The Dow Chemical Company, 2030 Dow Center, Midland, MI 48674 cc: Rob Rouse, The Dow Chemical Company, 2030 Dow Center, Midland, MI 48674 Elizabeth Webb, Thompson & Knight LLP, 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1900, Austin, TX 78701

NOTE: Please direct all correspondence related to this letter to Michele Osmun, 2030 Dow Center, Midland, MI 48674. Phone (989) 636-5581 or Fax (989) 638-9636.



Deloitte & Touche LLP Suite 400 3320 Ridgecrest Drive Midland, MI 48642-5859

Tel: +1 989 631 2370 Fax: +1 989 631 4485 www.deloitte.com

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the Board of Directors The Dow Chemical Company Midland, Michigan

We have performed the procedures included in the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"), Title 40, Part 264, Section 143 (40 CFR 264.143), which were agreed to by the US Environmental Protection Agency - Region 6 – Remedial Project Manager and The Dow Chemical Company ("Dow"), solely to assist the specified parties in evaluating Dow's compliance with the financial test option as of December 31, 2005, included in the accompanying letter dated April 17, 2006 from Mr. Geoffery E. Merszei of Dow. Management is responsible for Dow's compliance with those requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures that we performed and related findings are as follows:

We recomputed from, or reconciled to, the audited consolidated financial statements of Dow as of and for the year ended December 31, 2005, on which we have issued our report dated February 8, 2006 (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph relating to a change in the method of accounting for stock-based compensation to conform to Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123 for new grants of equity instruments to employees) the information included in Items 5, 6 and 9 under the caption Alternative II in the Letter referred to above and noted no differences.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accompanying letter dated April 17, 2006. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors and management of Dow and the specified parties listed in the first paragraph, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

April 17, 2006

Deloitle & Touche LLP



The Pres Chemical Company Vidland, Michigan 6897

2030 DOW CENTER March 27, 2006

COPY

Mr. Mark Stoebner Director Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 12100 Park 35 Circle Austin, TX 78753

LETTER FROM CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Dear Mr. Stoebner:

I am the chief financial officer of The Dow Chemical Company, 2030 Dow Center, Midland, Michigan, 48674. This letter is in support of the use of the financial test to demonstrate financial responsibility for liability coverage and closure, post closure, or corrective action as specified in 30 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 37 (relating to Financial Assurance).

The firm identified above is the owner or operator of the following facilities for which liability coverage for both sudden and nonsudden accidental occurrences is being demonstrated through the financial test specified in 30 TAC §37.541 (relating to Financial Test for Liability):

EPA ID# REGION 6	NAME/ADDRESS	
TXD008092793	The Dow Chemical Company Texas Operations B-1226 Freeport, TX 77541	Sudden = \$2,000,000 Nonsudden = \$6,000,000
TXR000057414	The Dow Chemical Company Clear Lake Operations 9502B Bayport Boulevard Pasadena, TX 77507	Sudden = \$2,000,000

The firm identified above guarantees, through the guarantee specified in 30 TAC §37.551 (relating to Corporate Guarantee for Liability), liability coverage for both sudden and nonsudden accidental occurrences at the following facilities owned or operated by the following:

None.

The firm identified above is the direct or higher-tier parent corporation of the owner or operator.

The firm identified above owns or operates the following facilities in Texas for which financial assurance for closure, post closure, or corrective action or liability coverage is demonstrated through a financial test specified in 30 TAC Chapter 37. The current cost estimates covered by the test are shown for each facility:

REGION 6

TXD008092793 The Dow Chemical Company

Texas Operations

B-1226

Freeport, TX 77541

Closure = \$66,754,361

Post-Closure = \$25,980,850

Corrective Action = \$144,814,964

TXD000017756

The Dow Chemical Company

La Porte Facility PO Box 687

La Porte, TX 77571

Corrective Action = \$4,250,000

TXR000057414

The Dow Chemical Company

Clear Lake Operations 9502B Bayport Boulevard Pasadena, TX 77507 Closure = \$843,324

 The firm identified above guarantees, through a corporate guarantee specified in 30 TAC Chapter 37, the cost for closure, post closure, corrective action, or liability coverage of the following facilities owned or operated by the guaranteed party. The current cost estimates so guaranteed are shown for each facility:

REGION 6

TXD096037932 Used Oil #A85819 Johann Haltermann Ltd.

Dow Haltermann Houston

Jacintoport Plant

16717 Jacintoport Boulevard

Houston, TX 77015

Closure = \$284,247

Closure = \$169,008

2. In States where TCEQ is not administering the financial requirements of 30 TAC Chapter 37, this firm, as owner, operator, or guarantor, is demonstrating financial assurance for the closure, post closure, or corrective action of the following facilities through the use of a test equivalent to a financial test specified in 30 TAC Chapter 37. The current cost estimates covered by such a test are shown for each facility:

REGION 1

CT001159730

The Dow Chemical Company

.....

Allyn's Point Route 12

Gales Ferry, CT 06335

NHD048724173

Hampshire Chemical Corp.

2 East Spit Brook Road Nashua, NH 03060-5633

.

Corrective Action = \$151,091

REGION 4

GAD045929643

The Dow Chemical Company

Dalton Plant

1468 Prosser Drive, SE

Dalton, GA 30720

Corrective Action = \$3,930,493

REGION 5

MID000724724 The Dow Chemical Company

MI Division/MI Plant

1261 Building

Midland, MI 48674

MID980617435 The Dow Chemical Company

MI Division/Salzburg Plant Salzburg & Waldo Roads

Midland, MI 48640

Ciosure = \$6.826.199

Closure = \$96,952,558

Post-Closure = \$2,293,112

Post-Closure = \$1,474,366

REGION 6

LAD008187080 The Dow Chemical Company

Louisiana Division

PO Box 150

Plaquemine, LA 70764

Closure = \$4,118,073 Post-Closure = \$486,800

LAD020597597

Angus Chemical Company

Sterlington Facility

PO Box 1325

Sterlington, LA 71280

Closure = \$115.097

REGION 9

CAD076528678 The Dow Chemical Company

Pittsburg Plant PO Box 1398

Pittsburg, CA 94565

Closure = \$1,434,662

Postclosure = \$923,985

Corrective Action = \$38,325,006 Groundwater Treatment Plant

Closure = \$147.831

CAD009547050

The Dow Chemical Company

Torrance Plant 305 Crenshaw Torrance, CA 90503 **Closure = \$458,773**

3. The firm identified above owns or operates the following facilities for which financial assurance for closure, post closure, or corrective action, is not demonstrated either to TCEQ, a federal agency or a State through the financial test or any other financial assurance mechanisms specified in 30 TAC Chapter 37 or equivalent State mechanisms. The current cost estimates not covered by such financial assurance are shown for each facility:

None.

- 4. This firm is the owner or operator or guarantor of the following facilities for which financial assurance is being demonstrated under other EPA regulations or state programs authorized by EPA through a financial test or guarantee. The following amounts have not been included in Paragraphs 1 through 4.
 - (a) Municipal solid waste management facilities under 30 TAC Chapter 330, 40 CFR part 258 or equivalent: None.

(b) Underground injection control facilities under 30 TAC Chapter 331, 40 CFR part 144 or equivalent: \$228,238

REGION 6

LAD020597597

Angus Chemical Company

Plugging & Abandonment

= \$228,238

Sterlington Facility

PO Box 1325

Sterlington, LA 71280

(c) Petroleum underground storage tank facilities under 30 TAC Chapter 334, and 40 CFR part 280 or equivalent: None.

(d) PCB storage facilities under 40 CFR part 761 or equivalent: None.

(e) Hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal facilities under 30 TAC Chapter 335, 40 CFR parts 264 and 265 or equivalent: \$8,141,509

REGION 1

072-IL The Dow Chemical Company

Closure = \$169,008

Allyn's Point Route 12

Gales Ferry, CT 06335

REGION 6

GPD0470107 P-0069

GD0733625

The Dow Chemical Company

Closure = \$5,911,179

Block 80 Industrial Solid Waste Landfill

Post-Closure = \$1,133,335
Pactherm Closure = \$26,235

Pactherm Burner

PO Box 150

Plaquemine, LA 70765

Closure = \$591,214

Waste Water Treatment Plant Lagoon

5000 Horeshoe Lake Road

Angus Chemical Company

Post-Closure = \$310,538

Sterlington, LA 71280

(f) Additional environmental obligations not shown above: None.

Total (a) - (f) \$8,369,747

This owner, operator, or guarantor has not received an adverse opinion, a disclaimer of opinion, or a going concern qualification from an independent auditor on its financial statements for the latest completed fiscal year.

This firm is required to file a Form 10K with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for the latest fiscal year. The fiscal year of this firm ends on December 31. The figures for the following items marked with an asterisk are derived from this firm's independently audited, year-end financial statements for the latest completed fiscal year, ended December 31, 2005.

PART B. CLOSURE, POST CLOSURE, CORRECTIVE ACTION, AND LIABILITY COVERAGE

ALTERNATIVE II

1.	Sum of current closure, post closure, and corrective action cost estimates (total of all cost estimates listed above)	\$409,104,547	
2	Amount of annual aggregate liability coverage to be demonstrated	\$8,000,000	
3.	Sum of lines 1 and 2	\$417,104,547	•
4.	Current bond rating of most recent issuance and name of rating service	A- (S&P)	
5.	Date of issuance of bond	November 22	, 2002
6.	Date of maturity of bond	November 15, 2007	
*7.	Tangible net worth (if any portion of the current cost estimates is included in total liabilities you may add that portion to this line)	\$11,741,000,000	
*8.	Total assets in the U.S. (Required only if less than 90% of assets are located in the U.S.)	\$24,652,000,000	
		YES X	NO
9.	Is line 7 at least \$10 million?	X	
10.	Is line 7 at least 6 times line 3?	X	
*11.	Are at least 90% of assets located in the U.S.? (If not, complete line 12)	•	X
12.	Is line 8 at least 6 times line 3?	X .	

I hereby certify that the wording of this letter is identical to the wording specified in 30 TAC §37.651 as such regulations were constituted on the date shown immediately below.

Geoffery E. Merszei

Executive Vice President
And Chief Financial Officer
The Dow Chemical Company

March 27, 2006

cc: Michele Osmun, The Dow Chemical Company, 2030 Dow Center, Midland, MI Janice Barber, The Dow Chemical Company, La Porte, TX

Alan Booth, The Dow Chemical Company, Freeport, TX

Jeff Jack, Johann Haltermann Ltd., Houston, TX

Barbara Partridge, The Dow Chemical Company, Pasadena, TX Steve Beisser, The Dow Chemical Company, La Porte, TX

NOTE: Please direct all correspondence related to this letter to Michele Osmun, 2030 Dow Center, Midland, MI 48674. Phone (989) 636-5581 or Fax (989) 638-9636.

Deloitte

Deloitte & Touche LLP Suite 400 3320 Ridgecrest Drive Midland, MI 48642-5859 USA

Tel: +1 989 631 2370 Fax: +1 989 631 4485 www.deloitte.com

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the Board of Directors
The Dow Chemical Company
Midland, Michigan

We have performed the procedures included in the Code of Federal Regulations ("CFR"), Title 40, Part 264, Section 143 (40 CFR 264.143), which were agreed to by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality - Director and The Dow Chemical Company ("Dow"), solely to assist the specified parties in evaluating Dow's compliance with the financial test option as of December 31, 2005, included in the accompanying letter dated March 27, 2006 from Mr. Geoffery E. Merszei of Dow. Management is responsible for Dow's compliance with those requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures that we performed and related findings are as follows:

We recomputed from, or reconciled to, the audited consolidated financial statements of Dow as of and for the year ended December 31, 2005, on which we have issued our report dated February 8, 2006 (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph relating to a change in the method of accounting for stock-based compensation to conform to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 for new grants of equity instruments to employees) the information included in Items 7, 8 and 11 under the caption Alternative II in the Letter referred to above and noted no differences.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the accompanying letter dated March 27, 2006. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the board of directors and management of Dow and the specified parties listed in the first paragraph, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

March 27, 2006

Delatte & Buche UP